

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

New Communications Support Requirements for FY 1983-FY 1987

Approved

FROM:

C/PPG

PJM

EXTENSION

5311

NO.

OS 0 1672/2-A

25X1

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

1.

DD/P&amp;M

RECEIVED  
8 OCT 1980

15/8

R

2.

DD/OS

FORWARDED  
OCT 1<sup>st</sup>  
1980

P

3.

4.

5.

D/Sec

OCT  
1980 10OCT 14  
1980

K

6.

OS Reg

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

You'll note that the secure voice requirement is programmed for implementation in FY81. We have included it here because we have heard unofficially that procurement of encryption devices by Commo is behind schedule. We want, therefore, to reinforce our perception that this is the prime OS Commo requirement.

25X1

OS 0 1672/2-A

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

11 OCT 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Management Staff, DDA

FROM: [redacted]

25X1

SUBJECT: New Communications Support Requirements  
for FY 1983-FY 1987REFERENCE: Your memorandum dated 11 August 1980,  
same subject (DD/A 80-1492/2)

1. In response to the referenced memorandum, attached are the Office of Security's new communications support requirements for FY 1983 through FY 1987.

2. The principal communications requirement for the Office of Security in the fiscal years 1983 through 1987 will be to provide secure communications, both voice and data transfer, between the Headquarters units and offices

[redacted] As you are aware, the Office of Security automation and communication project feasibility study was recently completed. This process has fostered close liaison between OS, ODP and OC regarding this Office's projected communications requirements.

25X1

25X1

3. The Office of Security has been communicating with [redacted] in essentially the same manner as it did when the Agency was established. We are desirous of making an orderly and planned transition from these outdated communications methods to those that will improve the responsiveness of our product by speeding the flow of information between Headquarters and [redacted]

25X1

25X1

Atts

[redacted]

## Distribution:

Orig - Adse  
 ✓ - OS Reg  
 1 - PPG  
 1 - D/S

OS/P&M/PPG [redacted] sw  
 (7Oct80)

OS 0 1672/2-A

25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~Declassified under authority of  
the Classified Mass Mailer(s)

DDA RANK: \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE RANK: 1 of 3

OFFICE: Office of Security

TITLE: Secure Voice Capability Between Headquarters and Field Offices

REQUIREMENT: To provide secure voice capability between Headquarters and [redacted]

25X1

JUSTIFICATION: Managers at the Headquarters level have a frequent need to make priority tasking assignments and to discuss the status of current assignments [redacted]

25X1

25X1

TIME REQUIREMENT: This requirement is scheduled for implementation during FY81. It is repeated here due to its high priority within this Office.

IMPACT OF LACK OF SERVICE ON OTHER PROGRAMS: Failure to obtain this capability would force a continuation of the inefficient and time-consuming procedures currently in use.

CONTACT:

NAME: [redacted]

25X1

OFFICE: Policy and Plans Group/OS

TELEPHONE: [redacted]

25X1

WARNING NOTICE  
INTELLIGENCE SOURCES  
AND METHODS INVOLVED

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

**Page Denied**

30 OCT 1979

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED]

25X1

D Division  
Office of Data Processing

FROM: [REDACTED]

25X1

Assistant ADP Control Officer  
Office of Security

SUBJECT: PROJECT MERCURY Requirements [REDACTED]

25X1

REFERENCE: Memo from D Div/ODP, dated 3 October 1979,  
subject: Instructions for Preparation of  
PROJECT MERCURY Requirements

1. The information below, which is keyed to questions in the reference, is an estimate of the Office of Security's long range communications requirements as they relate to PROJECT MERCURY. As we discussed in formulating this response, the data being supplied at this time is an educated estimate at best. The proposed analysis of Security communications systems requirements will, of course, provide more definitive answers. [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

a. Interactive electronic mail system between Headquarters and OS [REDACTED]

25X1

b. Voice messages, terminal to computer, computer to computer, terminal to terminal.


c. Estimated 90 percent narrative, 10 percent computer data.

d. Estimated average: single typewritten page per transmission.

e. Estimated 200 pages per day per field station.

f. Up to SECRET.

g. 2-3 percent priority, i.e., immediate precedence.  
30 percent interactive, i.e., real time.  
67 percent routine, including traffic deferred or stacked until non-peak periods.



CONFIDENTIAL

OS 9 2679-A

**Page Denied**

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Denied

UNCLASSIFIED INTERNAL  
USE ONLY CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

New Communications Support Requirements for  
FY 1983 - FY 1987

FROM:

Chief, Management Staff, DDA  
7D18 Hqs

EXTENSION

NO. DD/A 80-1492/2

25X1

DATE 11 AUG 1980

25X1

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

D/Sec

TUBE FX-1

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

DD/A 80-1492/2

11 AUG 1980

REFERENCE

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Communications  
 Director of Data Processing  
 Director of Finance  
 Director of Information Services  
 Director of Logistics  
 Director of Medical Services  
 Director of Security  
 Director of Training

FROM: [REDACTED] 25X1  
 Chief, Management Staff, DDA

SUBJECT: New Communications Support Requirements for FY 1983-FY 1987

1. In the attached memorandum the Office of Communications (OC) has requested that, as part of the Agency's program and budget process, the Directorate identify and prioritize its new communications support requirements for the period FY 1983 through FY 1987. As in past years, each requesting office will be responsible for programming (1) new requirements not being provided and (2) significant changes in existing communications support. For those two categories, please provide the following information:

- a. A description of the requirement;
- b. An explanation of the need for the service;
- c. The relative priority among your office requirements; and
- d. A description of the consequences of not getting the service in FY 1983.

We ask that you limit each requirement to a single page, using the attached format. A single Directorate ranking will be forwarded to OC. (U)

2. OC will attempt to have cost-estimates on new-initiatives back to you in Mid-December 1980. (U)

3. It is also requested that you provide information on those new requirements that are included in your Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved FY 1982 budget. OC will use this information to program for out-year support. (U)

OS-O-1672/2

25X1

WARNING NOTICE  
 INTELLIGENCE SOURCES  
 AND METHODS INVOLVED


**CONFIDENTIAL**

4. The above information is due in OC by 1 November 1980. To allow time for consolidation and ranking at the Directorate level, your office submissions should be forwarded to the Management Staff by 10 October. Any questions you have regarding this matter should be directed to [redacted] or myself on extension [redacted]

25X1  
25X1

25X1

Attachments:  
As Stated



ATTACHMENT  
16 16

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DDA RANK: \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE RANK: \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE:

TITLE:

REQUIREMENT:

JUSTIFICATION:

TIME REQUIREMENT:

IMPACT OF LACK OF SERVICE ON OTHER PROGRAMS:

CONTACT:

NAME:

OFFICE:

TELEPHONE: